

# Mathematical Induction

Let  $P(n)$  be a propositional function of a positive integer  $n$ . The following arguments are all valid (by using Modus Ponens and conjunction repeatedly).

$$\frac{P(1)}{\therefore P(1)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P(1) \\ P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \end{array}}{\therefore P(1) \wedge P(2)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P(1) \\ P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \\ P(2) \rightarrow P(3) \end{array}}{\therefore P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P(1) \\ P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \\ P(2) \rightarrow P(3) \\ \vdots \\ P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1) \end{array}}{\therefore P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k) \wedge P(k+1)}$$

The Principle of Mathematical Induction (PMI) essentially says that the following “argument” is valid.<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} P(1) \\ P(1) \rightarrow P(2) \\ P(2) \rightarrow P(3) \\ \vdots \\ P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1) \\ \vdots \end{array}}{\therefore P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(k) \wedge P(k+1) \wedge \cdots}$$

PMI is therefore a rule of inference that asserts

$$[P(1) \wedge \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+(P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))] \rightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ P(n).$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking this is not an argument as we defined it in sec 1.6 since the conclusion follows from an infinite number of premises.